

Photoperiod cycling and haematological evaluation of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*)

Gh. Nabi Lone^{1*}, Qaisar Jahan Shammi², Shaukath Ali Mir³, Salman Rauoof Chalkoo⁴, Irshadul Hassan⁵

¹Research Scholar, Govt. Narmada PG College, Hoshangabad, Madhya Pradesh, India

²Asst. Professor, Govt. Narmada PG College, Hoshangabad, India

³Ex-Director Department of Fisheries, Govt. Of J&K, India

⁴Research Officer Department of Fisheries, Govt. Of J&K, India

⁵Govt. Benazir College Bhopal, MP India.

*Corresponding Author:

Gh. Nabi Lone

Email: ghnabi786@gmail.com

Abstract: The present research work aimed at the effect of five photoperiod regimes on the health status of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) assessed through hematological components. The results revealed no significant ($P < 0.05$) changes in haemoglobin and RBC count as compared to normal in all the treatment groups. However significant ($P < 0.05$) changes were recorded in immune component of fishes subjected to light duration ranging from 24h to 12 hours. Differential blood count, neutrophil and monocyte count was reduced in LD24 to LD12, as compared to control. However, thrombocytes showed higher titres in stress condition of fishes, subjected to longer light durations.

Keywords: *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, haemoglobin, RBC, LD24, LD12, thrombocytes.

INTRODUCTION

The changes in physiological behavior of fishes can be well understood by the alteration in haemo-biochemical profile, which is the best indicator of health [1-4]. Haematology and blood biochemistry are useful for assessing the physiological status of fish [5]. Blood cell indices (RBC, WBC and DLC counts) are good indicators of systemic response to external stimulus and any changes are therefore reflected in their morphology and distribution in the blood. Lymphocytes numbers are known to show variability according to the physiological condition of the fish [6]. Decreased lymphocyte numbers were observed under stressed conditions – hypoxia, cortisol induced or during handling and transport [7, 8]. Valenzuela *et al.* [9] reported a decrease in lymphocytes count in trout exposed to constant illumination. Neutrophils on contrary show an increase under stressful condition. It is now agreed that in all the five vertebrate taxa including fish, natural stressors or exogenous administration of stressors in the environment elicit a stress response in their leukocyte profile [10].

Most of the fishes exhibit a daily light/dark rhythm tuned to the natural photoperiod with melatonin playing the key light perception hormone [11-13]. The fact that day-length has an important role is well documented. In a number of studies on juvenile fishes, exposure to increased day-length have produced such responses as stimulated growth, better feed conversion efficiency and early maturation

[14-18] which hold lot of potential in fishery practices. Intensive fish culture practices widely utilize photoperiodic manipulation for obtaining desired growth rates, spawning and early maturation of larval fish. In adult fishes, influence of photoperiod has been observed as changes in light dependent circadian rhythmicity, melatonin production and its secretory patterns [19-21], anatomical and biochemical changes in the pineal organ - the chief transducer of environmental light in fish through melatonin [22-24].

Artificial photoperiod regimes are alterations in the natural light:dark cycles and any alteration or manipulation of environmental parameters such as temperature or light results in sudden changes in the environment which may cause stress thus altering the general well-being of the fish [1,1,2]. Recently some studies have focused on stress related changes in fishes exposed to artificial photoperiod. Physiological changes in blood cell indices, levels of lactate, glucose, plasma proteins, cortisol, FFA have been observed in fish exposed to altered photoperiod conditions [25, 26, 9]. However, the results are varied and species-specific in most cases and observed after the photoperiodic exposures that usually ranged from 30 days to 3 months.

The rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) is the best test animal to assess the alterations in blood profile on exposure to varied photoperiods. The present experiment was conducted with an aim to standardize a particular photoperiod to assess the impact on growth

parameters, thereby an investigations in hematological indices was mandatory to grab an idea about the kind of stress, a particular photoperiod regime poses on the test fishes.

MATERIAL & METHODS

Fingerlings of Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) used were obtained from homogenous source at the Department of Fisheries (Bandipora, J&K) farm and acclimatized for two weeks. They were fed twice daily during the period of acclimatization with pelleted feed @2% body weight. The fishes were maintained in fresh flowing water system (Temp: $12\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$), with an average flow rate of 25 L min^{-1} .

25 Rainbow trout fingerlings (average weight $10\pm 0.45\text{ g}$; average length $12\pm 0.86\text{ cm}$ ($n = 50$)) were selected at random and placed in the six rearing tanks connected to the fresh stream water. The six tanks were assigned to five photoperiods namely twenty-four hours of darkness (DD24: LD00), eighteen hours of darkness: six hours of light (DD18: LD06), twelve hours of darkness twelve hours of light (DD12:LD12), six hours of darkness and 18 hours of light (DD06:LD18) and 24 hours of light (DD00:LD24). The light phase was achieved with the aid of an energy bulb (60W) emitting 150 lux intensity of light measured at the surface of water.

The fishes during the course of the experiment were fed 2% of their body weight with pelleted trout feed (10% Moisture, 12% Ash, 45% Crude protein, 9% Ether extract, 1.5% Crude fiber) for 90 days. The fishes were weighed fortnightly and sampling was done on monthly basis.

Blood collection and Analysis

At the end of the experiment, blood was collected from anaesthetized fish by cutting the caudal peduncle. Blood of two to three fish were pooled to obtain enough samples for hematological analysis. The collected blood was placed in coded 1.5mL heparinized plastic tubes, stored on ice according to the procedures established by Campbell and Murru [34], standard haematological procedures described by Blaxhall and Daisley [35] were employed in the assessment of the various blood parameters.

Haemoglobin (HB) concentration was estimated as cyanmethemoglobin [29], Packed Cell Volume (PCV) was determined using microhaematocrit method of Snieszko [31]. The Red Blood Cell (RBC) were counted using haemocytometer (Improved Neubauer Weber Scientific Ltd), according to Wintrobe [30]. Also the total White Blood Cell Counts (WBC) was enumerated with an improved NeubauerHaemocytometer using Shaw's diluting fluid [28]. The Red Blood Cell indices that include Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin Concentration (MCHC),

Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin (MCH) and Mean Corpuscular Volume (MCV) were calculated using the formula mentioned by Dacie and Lewis [32]. Unna-Ziehl staining was used for differentiating small, large lymphocytes and thrombocytes [33], ϵ granulation staining was used for differentiating neutrophils by the standard method of Romeis [33]. δ -granulation staining was used for differentiating monocytes as per the standard methods of Romeis [33].

Statistical Analysis

The results gotten were subjected to Analysis of variance using a computer software Gen stat discovery edition.

RESULTS

The haematological analysis of the blood sample of the fishes subjected to various photoperiods is presented in Table 1. The enumerated Hemoglobin (g/dL) in control group of fishes was 8.7 ± 0.102 . Out of all photoperiod regimes, the lowest haemoglobin (6.7 ± 0.010) was recorded in treatment DD24:00LD, while as the highest (9.0 ± 0.024) haemoglobin was recorded in treatment DD06:18LD, with a mean \pm SE value of 0.008. The lowest PCV (%) (26 ± 0.105) was recorded in treatment DD24:00LD, and highest (35 ± 0.105) in treatment DD12:12LD, as compared to control (36 ± 0.226), with a mean \pm SE value of 0.033. The RBC ($\times 10^6/\mu\text{L}$) showed lowest (2.85 ± 0.007) count in treatment DD24:00LD, while as the highest (4.25 ± 0.020) in treatment DD06:18LD, with a mean \pm SE value of 0.008, as compared to control (4.15 ± 0.101).

The calculated MCV (fL) in control group of fishes was 86.74 ± 0.316 . The lowest (80.0 ± 1.52) MCV value was recorded in DD06:18LD, while as the highest (91.80 ± 2.3) value was recorded in treatment DD18:06LD, with a mean \pm SE value of 0.612. Similarly the lowest MCH (pg) (21.17 ± 0.12) was recorded in treatment DD06:18LD, and highest (24.91 ± 0.24) in treatment DD18:06LD, with a mean \pm SE value of 0.07, as compared to control (20.96 ± 0.26). The calculated MCHC (g/dL) in control group of fishes was 24.16 ± 0.03 . The lowest MCHC (24.4 ± 0.08) was recorded in treatment DD00:24LD, while as the highest (27.14 ± 0.17) was recorded in treatment DD18:06LD, with a mean \pm SE value of 0.036.

The WBC ($\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$) showed the lowest count (22.5 ± 0.098) in treatment DD06:18LD, and highest (71.5 ± 0.127) in treatment DD24:00LD, with a mean \pm SE value of 0.026, as compared to control (32.6 ± 0.029). The small lymphocyte count ($\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$) was 25.3 ± 0.021 in control group, while as the lowest (18.3 ± 0.024) was recorded in treatment DD06:18LD, and highest (55.9 ± 0.024) in treatment DD24:00LD, with a mean \pm SE value of 0.016. However, large

lymphocyte count ($\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$) showed the lowest (1.5 ± 0.010) value in treatment DD06:18LD, while as the highest (7.8 ± 0.018) in treatment DD24:00LD, with a mean \pm SE value of 0.005, as compared to control (1.5 ± 0.020).

The lowest neutrophil count ($\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$) was recorded in treatment DD06:18LD (0.7 ± 0.010), while as the highest (2.0 ± 0.010) was recorded in treatment DD24:00LD, with a mean \pm SE value of 0.003, as

compared to control (1.9 ± 0.014). The monocyte count ($\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$) was lowest (1.0 ± 0.20) in treatment DD06:18LD and highest (2.5 ± 0.010) in treatment DD18:06LD, with a mean \pm SE value of 0.013, as compared to control (1.65 ± 0.021). Similarly, eosinophil count ($\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$) in control group of fishes was 0.5 ± 0.020 , as compared to DD06:18LD, which recorded the lowest (0.59 ± 0.020) eosinophil count and highest (0.8 ± 0.001) in treatment DD24:00LD, with a mean \pm SE value of 0.002.

Table-1: Mean haematological parameters of *Oncorhynchus mykiss* subjected to various photoperiods for 90 days

Treatment	Control	DD24:00LD	DD18:06LD	DD12:12LD	DD06:18LD	DD00:24LD	\pm SEM
Parameters							
Haemoglobin (g/dL)	8.7 \pm 0.102	6.7 \pm 0.010	7.6 \pm 0.010	8.7 \pm 0.010	9.0 \pm 0.024	7.8 \pm 0.010	0.008
PCV (%)	36 \pm 0.226	26 \pm 0.105	28 \pm 0.105	35 \pm 0.105	34 \pm 0.028	32 \pm 0.105	0.033
RBC ($\times 10^6/\mu\text{L}$)	4.15 \pm 0.101	2.85 \pm 0.007	3.05 \pm 0.007	4.05 \pm 0.007	4.25 \pm 0.020	3.58 \pm 0.017	0.008
MCV (fL)	86.74 \pm 0.316	91.22 \pm 2.10	91.80 \pm 2.3	86.41 \pm 3.12	80 \pm 1.52	89.38 \pm 2.9	0.612
MCH (pg)	20.96 \pm 0.26	23.5 \pm 0.24	24.91 \pm 0.24	21.48 \pm 0.32	21.17 \pm 0.12	21.78 \pm 0.22	0.07
MCHC (g/dL)	24.16 \pm 0.03	25.7 \pm 0.18	27.14 \pm 0.17	24.8 \pm 0.18	26.47 \pm 0.08	24.4 \pm 0.08	0.036
WBC ($\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$)	32.6 \pm 0.029	22.5 \pm 0.098	24.5 \pm 0.027	31.5 \pm 0.127	45.5 \pm 0.127	26.2 \pm 0.521	0.026
Small lymphocytes ($\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$)	25.3 \pm 0.021	39.9 \pm 0.024	46.3 \pm 0.084	60.3 \pm 0.084	83.3 \pm 0.024	51.3 \pm 0.084	0.016
Large lymphocytes ($\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$)	1.5 \pm 0.020	1.0 \pm 0.018	1.2 \pm 0.018	1.8 \pm 0.018	1.5 \pm 0.010	1.4 \pm 0.018	0.005
Neutrophils ($\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$)	1.9 \pm 0.014	1.1 \pm 0.010	1.3 \pm 0.010	1.7 \pm 0.010	2.0 \pm 0.010	1.8 \pm 0.010	0.003
Monocytes ($\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$)	1.65 \pm 0.021	0.94 \pm 0.010	1.1 \pm 0.010	1.1 \pm 0.020	1.7 \pm 0.20	1.2 \pm 0.010	0.013
Eosinophils ($\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$)	0.5 \pm 0.020	0.1 \pm 0.001	0.3 \pm 0.001	0.35 \pm 0.001	0.59 \pm 0.020	0.4 \pm 0.001	0.002
Thrombocyte like cells ($\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$)	1.8 \pm 0.021	4.5 \pm 0.014	4.4 \pm 0.014	2.4 \pm 0.014	1.7 \pm 0.020	3.4 \pm 0.014	0.004
Thrombocytes ($\times 10^3/\mu\text{L}$)	34.9 \pm 0.025	48.3 \pm 0.014	45.9 \pm 0.014	42.9 \pm 0.014	40.8 \pm 0.152	45.9 \pm 0.014	0.011

Note: Values are means \pm SD of three replications (d.f. 5, 17). Means in the same row having different superscripts are significantly different ($P < 0.05$) and values in the same row with same superscript are not significantly different ($P > 0.05$). * No statistical analysis was possible as determinations were performed on pooled samples

DISCUSSION

The present study revealed that rainbow trout showed improved haemoglobin content in DD06:LD12 group, which was 9.0 ± 0.024 , as compared to control (8.7 ± 0.102). The least Hb was observed in LD24:DD00 group (6.7 ± 0.010), which reveals the level of stress due to excessive stress. Similar pattern was recorded in RBC's, which showed the highest value (4.25 ± 0.020) in DD06:LD18, as compared to control (4.15 ± 0.101). Haematological parameters are often used as health status and stress indicators in fish. However, information on the effects of artificial photoperiods on these parameters is scarce and ambiguous. An important contribution to the effect of photoperiod on rainbow trout is that of Silva&Klempau [27]. The authors reported that at the end of phase 1, photoperiods

LD 14:10 and 24:0 induced higher production of immature (late basophilic) erythrocytes ($0.06-0.08 \times 10^{12}$ cells/l, $P < 0.05$) and elevated EPI (young erythrocytes = 1.6 in LD 14:10, 2.25 in LD 24:0; late basophilic erythrocytes = 4.1-4.9 in LD 14:10, 3.3 in LD 24:0) than in controls (late basophilic erythrocytes = $0.02-0.04 \times 10^{12}$ cells/l; EPI young erythrocytes = 0.2-1.0; EPI late basophilic erythrocytes = 1.4-2.7). During phase 2, only reduced numbers of lymphocytes and thrombocytes were observed at day 150 in the LD 14:00 groups. An increase in the EPI (1.9) of control young erythrocytes was found at day 150. This stands true for our experiment also, which showed significant ($P < 0.05$) changes in erythrocytes on exposure of trout to more light hours.

In yet another effort by Valenzuela et al. [9] on the effects of different artificial photoperiods and temperatures on haematological parameters of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), it was reported that the LD 24:0 photoperiod (independently of temperature) increased the haematocrit and the number of erythrocytes at days 7, 14, and 30 ($P < 0.01$). The LD 24:0 photoperiod (also independently of temperature) lowered the number of lymphocytes only after 14 days of experimentation ($P < 0.01$). These results resemble the effects of stress caused by the application of continuous light photoperiods, indicating that survival risks may develop in trout farming. Our observations are documented by the work of Srivastava and Sanjeev [36], who reported that the total RBC and WBC counts were unaffected by the artificial photoperiod regimes. However, lymphopenia ($p < 0.05$) and neutrophilia ($p < 0.05$) were observed under 24L:0D photoperiod. However during the present research work, lymphocyte count (small & large lymphocytes) showed reduced titres in other treatment groups except DD06:LD18, where lymphocyte titres were equal to or more than control. Neutrophil count was also reduced in other treatment groups, except LD06:DD18 (2.0 ± 0.010), which showed higher value than control group (1.9 ± 0.014). Haematological analyses has been routinely used in determining the physiological state of animals and known to be affected by different environmental factors, Solomon and Okomoda [37] therefore designed to assess the effect of 24 hours of light (00D: 24L), 24 hours of darkness (24D: 00L) and 12 hour light / 12 hours darkness (12D: 12L) photoperiod on the haematological parameters of the African Catfish. At the end of the six weeks experiment, it was observed that some haematological parameters such as Mean Corpuscular Haemoglobin Concentration (MCHC), the Mean White Blood Cells (WBC), Mean Red Blood Cells (RBC), Haemoglobin content (HGB), Platelet count (PLT) showed significant difference ($P < 0.05$), which stands true for our experiment as well.

REFERENCES

1. Barton, B. A., & Iwama, G. K. (1991). Physiological changes in fish from stress in aquaculture with emphasis on the response and effects of corticosteroids. *Annual Review of Fish Diseases*, 1, 3-26.
2. WendelaarBonga, S. E. (1997): The stress response in fish. *Physiol Rev*.77:591-625.
3. Tavares-Dias, M. and Moraes, F. R. (2004): *Haematology in Teleost fish*. Sao Paulo: Ribeirao Preto (in Portuguese).
4. Tavares- Dias, M., & Moraes, F. R. D. (2007). Leukocyte and thrombocyte reference values for channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus* Raf), with an assessment of morphologic, cytochemical, and ultrastructural features. *Veterinary Clinical Pathology*, 36(1), 49-54.
5. Stoskopf, M. K. (1993): Clinical pathology. In Stoskopf, M.K. (ed.). *Fish Medicine* Saunders, Philadelphia, pp. 113-131.
6. Klontz, G. W. (1972): Haematological techniques and the immune response in rainbow trout. In Mawdesley-Thomas, L.E. (ed.). *Diseases of Fish Symp Zool Soc Lond No.30*. Academic Press, London, pp. 89-99.
7. Ellsaesser, C. F. and Clem, L. W. (1986): Haematological and immunological changes in channel catfish stressed by handling and transport. *J Fish Biol*.28:511-521.
8. Ellsaesser, C. F. and Clem, L.W. (1987): Cortisol induced haematological and immunological changes in channel catfish (*Ictalurus punctatus*). *Comp Biochem Physiol* .87A(2): 405-408.
9. Valenzuela, A. E., Silva, V. M. and Klempau, A.E. (2008): Effects of different artificial photoperiods and temperatures on haematological parameters of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*); *Fish Physiol. Biochem*. 34(2):159-167.
10. Davis, A. K., Maney, D. L. and Maerz, J. C. (2008): The use of leukocyte profiles to measure stress in vertebrates: a review for ecologists. *Functional Ecology*.22(5):760-772.
11. Ekstrom, P. and Meissl, H. (1997): *The Pineal organ of Teleost Fishes*, Vol.7. Chapman and Hall, London, pp.199-284.
12. Porter, M. J. R., Duncan, N. J. and Mitchell, D. (1999): The use of cage lighting to reduce plasma melatonin in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) and its effects on the inhibition of grilising. *Aquaculture*.176:237-244.
13. Bromage, N., Porter, M. and Randall, C. (2001): The environmental regulation of maturation in farmed finfish with special reference to the role of photoperiod and melatonin. *Aquaculture*.197, 63-98.
14. Mason, E. G., Gallant, R. K. and Wood, L. (1992): Productivity enhancement of rainbow trout using photoperiod manipulation. *Bull Aquaculturists Assoc Can*.91:44-46.
15. Boeuf, G. and Le Bail, P. Y. (1999): Does light have an influence on fish growth? *Aquaculture*.177, 129-152.
16. Purchase, C. F., Boyce, D. L., Brown, J. A. (2000): Growth and survival of juvenile yellowtail flounder *Pleuronectes ferrugineus* (Storer) under different photoperiods. *Aquaculture Research*.31:547-552.
17. Boeuf, G. and Falcon, J. (2001): Photoperiod and growth in fish. *Vie Milieu*.51, 247-266.
18. Davie, A., Mazora de Quero, C., Bromage, N., Treasurer, J. and Migaud, H. (2007): Inhibition of sexual maturation in tank reared haddock (*Melanogrammus aeglefinus*) through the use of constant light photoperiods. *Aquaculture*.270:379-389.

19. Meissl, H., Donley, C. S. and Wissler, J. H. (1978): Free amino acids and amines in the pineal organ of the rainbow trout, *Salmo gairdneri*. Influence of light and dark. *Comp Biochem Physiol*. 61C:401-405.
20. Pevet, P. (1979): Secretory processes in the mammalian pinealocytes under natural and experimental conditions. *Progr Brain Res*. 53:277-292.
21. Iigo, M., Kezuka, H., Adia, K. and Hanyu, I. (1991): Circadian rhythms of melatonin secretion from superfused goldfish (*Carassius auratus*) pineal glands in vitro. *Gen Comp Endocrinol*. 83:152-158.
22. Hafeez, M. A., Wagner, H. H. and Quay, W. B. (1978): Mediation of light induced changes in pineal receptor and supporting cell nuclei in steel head trout (*Salmo gairdneri*). *Photochem Photobiol*. 28:213-218.
23. McNulty, J. A. (1982): The effect of constant light and darkness on daily changes in the morphology of the pineal organ in the gold fish, *Carassius auratus*. *J Neural Trans*. 53:277-292.
24. Srivastava, S. (2003a): Influence of continuous light and darkness on the secretory pinealocytes of *Heteropneustes fossilis*. *J Biosci*. 28(5):613- 622.
25. Biswas, A. K., Maita, M., Yoshizaki, G. and Takeuchi, T. (2004): Physiological responses in Nile tilapia exposed to different photoperiod regimes. *J Fish Biol*. 65(3), 811-821.
26. Almazan-Rueda, P., Helmond, A. T. M. and Verreth, J. A. J., Schrama, J. W. (2005): Photoperiod affects growth, behavior and stress variables in *Clarias gariepinus*. *J Fish Biol*. 67:1029- 1039.
27. Silva, V. M., A. E. Klempau (2007): Some changes in the haematological parameters of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) exposed to three artificial photoperiod regimes. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*. 33(1): 35-48.
28. Chen, N. Y., Miale, J. N., & Reagan, W. J. (1982). *U.S. Patent No. 4,311,865*. Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.
29. Brown, M. R., ALLISON, D. G., & GILBERT, P. (1988). Resistance of bacterial biofilms to antibiotics a growth-rate related effect?. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 22(6), 777-780.
30. Branda, R. F., Moldow, C. F., MacArthur, J. R., Wintrobe, M. M., Anthony, B. K., & Jacob, H. S. (1978). Folate-induced remission in aplastic anemia with familial defect of cellular folate uptake. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 298(9), 469-475.
31. Wolf, K., Snieszko, S. F., Dunbar, C. E., & Pyle, E. (1960). Virus nature of infectious pancreatic necrosis in trout. *Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine*, 104(1), 105-108.
32. Lewis, S. M. (2001). Miscellaneous tests. *Lewis SM, Bum BJ, Bates I. Dacie and Lewis practical Haematology*, 527-31.
33. Kaskel, D., Hockwin, O., Metzler, U. R. S. U. L. A., & Schedtler, C. M. (1973). Glycogen content in the rabbit retina in relation to blood circulation. *Ophthalmic Research*, 5(3), 177-185
34. Campbell, T., & Murru, F. (1990). An introduction to fish hematology. *Compendium on Continuing Education for the Practicing Veterinarian*, 12(4), 525-532.
35. Blaxhall, P. C., & Daisley, K. W. (1973). Routine haematological methods for use with fish blood. *Journal of fish biology*, 5(6), 771-781.
36. Ramachandran, B., Srivastava, S. K., Cartes, D. A., & Edrington, C. S. (2010, July). Distributed energy resource management in a smart grid by risk based auction strategy for profit maximization. In *Power and Energy Society General Meeting, 2010 IEEE* (pp. 1-7). IEEE.
37. Solomon, S. G., & Okomoda, V. T. (2012). Effects of photoperiod on the haematological parameters of *Clarias gariepinus* fingerlings reared in water recirculatory system. *Journal of Stress Physiology & Biochemistry*, 8(3).